Assessing impacts of new legislation on Dutch dairy farms using a revised linear programming model

A. van der Linden, L.M. Alderkamp, C.W. Klootwijk, G. Holshof, N. Van Eekeren, F. Taube, and C.E. van Middelaar







Introduction

Improve water quality via new legislation:

1) Abolition of derogation in the Netherlands (2023)

	Derogation	No derogation
Organic N fertilizer (kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)	230 / 250	170
Grassland (% farmland)	≥80%	-
Phosphate fertilizer application	Not allowed	Allowed

2) Additional measures of the 7th Nitrates Action program



What are the effects of new legislation on farm structure, economic performance and environmental performance of Dutch dairy farms?

What are the effects of using grass-clover swards on farm structure, economic performance and environmental performance?



Materials & Methods

- Existing linear programming (LP) model (Berentsen and Giessen, 1995; Klootwijk et al., 2016)
- Model revised, written in R
- LP model for a typical Dutch dairy farm on a sandy soil
 - Objective function: maximization of labour income
 - Decision variables: dairy cows, crop cultivation, feed purchase
 - Constraints: labour, land, barn capacity, legislation



Materials & Methods

Scenarios for Dutch dairy farms

Scenarios	New legis.				
Reference		\checkmark			\checkmark
New legislat.	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark
G-GRW	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark
G-GRW-GW	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
GRW-GW	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

G = perennial ryegrass; GRW = grass + red and white clover; GW = grass + white clover



Farm structure: number of cows and land use (% total farmland)

Scenarios	# Cows				
Reference	101	80%	-	-	20%
New legislat.	92	68%	-	-	32%



Protein self-sufficiency (PS) and purchased inputs

Scenarios	PS (%)	Maize silage (t yr ⁻¹)	Concen- trates (t yr ⁻¹)	N fertilizer (t yr ⁻¹)	P ₂ O ₅ fertilizer (t yr ⁻¹)
Reference	57	127	206	5.9	-
New legislat.	57	-	218	4.6	0.2



Economic performance

Scenarios	Revenues (k€ yr⁻¹)	Variable costs (k€ yr⁻¹)	Gross margin (%)	Labour income (k€ yr⁻¹)
Reference	396	203	48.8	12.2
New legislation	364	190	47.8	-6.6



Environmental performance

Scenarios	GHG emissions (g CO ₂ -eq. kg milk)	N surplus (kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)	P₂O₅ surplus (kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)
Reference	805	183	6.9
New legislat.	806	118	2.5



Discussion

- Clover persistence and N-fixation capacity is key for adoption of grass-clover
- Carbon sequestration not taken into account in GHG emissions
- Payment for avoided social costs may be a policy option to compensate dairy farmers



Conclusions

- New legislation: economics + and environment +
- Use of grass-clover: economics \uparrow and GHG emissions \clubsuit
- Use of grass-clover partly compensates for decreased labour income after implementation of new legislation.
- Adoption of grass-clover swards did not significantly affect social costs



Thank you for your attention!



aart.vanderlinden@wur.nl lianne.alderkamp@wur.nl

